arXiv:1001.1865v2 [astro-ph.HE] 14 Nov 2011

Kinematic Origin Of Correlations Between Gamma Ray Burst Observables

Shlomo Dado[1] and Arnon Dar[2]

Received _____; accepted _____

[1]dado@phep3.technion.ac.il

Physics Department, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

[2]arnon@physics.technion.ac.il

Physics Department, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel

ABSTRACT

Recently, several new correlations between gamma ray burst (GRB) observables have been discovered. Like previously well established correlations, they challenge GRB models. Here we show that in the cannonball (CB) model of GRBs, the newly discovered correlations have the same simple kinematic origin as those discovered earlier. They all result from the strong dependence of the observed radiations on the Lorentz and Doppler factors of the jet of highly relativistic plasmoids (CBs) that produces the observed radiations by interaction with the medium through which it propagates.

Subject headings: gamma rays: bursts

1. Introduction

Despite the enormous complexity and diversity of gamma ray bursts (GRBs) and their afterglows, various well established correlations between GRB observables were found during the past years. Such correlations challenge the theoretical models of GRBs. Most of these correlations have been neither predicted nor explained by the standard fireball model of GRBs, which has been extensively employed to explain the GRB phenomenon (for reviews see, e.g., Meszaros 2002; Zhang & Meszaros 2004; Piran 2004; Zhang 2007). In the cannonball (CB) model of GRBs, these correlations were shown to be a simple consequence of the strong dependence of GRB observables on the Lorentz factor γ and Doppler factor δ of the highly relativistic jet of plasmoids (CBs) whose interaction with the medium along its path produces the observed radiations (Dar & De Rújula 2000,2004; Dado et al. 2007,2009).

Recently, many new correlations were discovered between pairs of observables characterizing the prompt gamma ray and early optical emissions in a sample of GRBs rich in X-ray flashes (Liang et al. 2010). Here we show that in the CB model all these new correlations also follow from the strong dependence of the GRB observables on the Lorentz factor γ and the Doppler factor δ of the highly relativistic jet of CBs.

In particular, from their selected GRB sample, Liang et al. (2010) inferred tight pair correlations between the initial Lorentz factor Γ_0 of the relativistic ejecta in GRBs and other GRB observables, such as the isotropic equivalent gamma ray energy $E_{iso,\gamma}$ of the prompt emission, the peak luminosity $L_{p,O}$ of the early optical emission, and the peak time t'_p of the optical emission in the GRB rest frame. The fireball model itself does not explain the physical origin of these newly discovered correlations, nor the origin of other well established correlations between GRB observables. Moreover, in the fireball model, the tight $\Gamma_0 - E_{iso,\gamma}$ correlation yields a peak energy $E_{p,\gamma}$ that is practically independent of $E_{iso,\gamma}$, in contradiction with the well established Amati relation (Amati et al. 2002; Amati 2006).

However, the initial value of the Lorentz factor of the relativistic ejecta that produced the GRBs was not truly measured. Instead, it was inferred (Liang et al. 2010) from an assumed relation, $\Gamma_0 \propto [E_{iso,\gamma}/(t'_p)^3]^{1/8}$. This relation follows from the standard fireball model expression for Γ_0 (Sari & Piran 1999), neglecting its weak dependence on the circumburst density and on the conversion efficiency of the relativistic kinetic energy of the ejecta to radiation. The validity of this fireball model relation has never been proven. Thus, hereafter, we shall distinguish between the true value of the bulk motion Lorentz factor γ of the relativistic ejecta and Γ_0, its alleged value inferred from fireball modeling of GRB data. We shall show that, in the CB model, the strong dependence of $E_{iso,\gamma}$ and t'_p and consequently of Γ_0 on the true initial values of the Lorentz factor γ and the Doppler factor δ also yields the tight pair correlations between the fireball model parameter Γ_0 and the GRB observables that were discovered by Liang et al. (2010) and could not be explained by the fireball model.

2. Kinematic origin of correlations in the CB model

In the cannonball (CB) model of GRBs (Dado et al. 2002,2004,2009), gamma ray bursts and their afterglows are produced by the interaction of bipolar jets of highly relativistic plasmoids (CBs) of ordinary matter with the radiation and matter along their trajectory (Shaviv & Dar 1995; Dar 1998). Such jetted CBs are presumably ejected in accretion episodes on the newly formed compact stellar object in core-collapse supernova (SN) explosions (Dar et al. 1992; Dar & Plaga 1999; Dar & De Rújula 2000), in merger of compact objects in close binary systems (Goodman et al. 1987; Shaviv & Dar 1995) and in phase transitions in compact stars (Dar 1998; Dar & De Rújula 2000; Dado et al. 2009). GRBs are not standard candles because of the diversity of their central engines and environments. But, because of the large bulk motion Lorentz factor γ of the jet of CBs,

their emitted radiation at redshift z, which is observed at a small angle θ relative to the direction of the jet, is boosted by a large Doppler factor $\delta = 1/\gamma\,(1-\beta\,cos\theta)$ and collimated through relativistic beaming by a factor δ^2. Moreover, the time difference dt in the observer frame between the arrival of photons emitted by the point-like CBs at two different points along their path, which are separated by a distance dr' and time $dt' = dr'/c$ in the progenitor's rest frame (hereafter a prime indicates an observable in the progenitor's rest frame), is shortened (aberrated) according to $dt = dt'\,(1+z)/\gamma\,\delta$. The large Doppler boosting, relativistic collimation and time aberration produce correlations between GRB observables, despite their dependence on the CBs' intrinsic (rest frame) properties and on the environment along their trajectories (which produce a significant spread around these simple kinematic correlations).

The redshift z of the GRB location is measurable, and the dependence of the GRB observables on redshift can be taken into account explicitly, unlike their dependence on the values of the Lorentz factor and the viewing angle of the jet, which can only be inferred with model-dependent assumptions. However, the strong dependence on γ and δ can be used to correlate triplets of independent observables without knowing the values of γ and δ. Moreover, several observables depend on the same combination of γ and δ that result in pair correlations. Finally, due to selection effects in the observations, various observables depend strongly only on γ or δ, which also yields pair-correlations. In particular, the dependence on viewing angle of the jet can be eliminated in two general cases: For $\gamma^2 \gg 1$ and small viewing angles $\theta^2 \ll 1$, the Doppler factor satisfies $\delta \approx 2\,\gamma/(1+\gamma^2\,\theta^2)$ to an excellent approximation. For $\theta^2\,\gamma^2 \lesssim 1$ the Doppler factor decreases rather slowly with increasing θ. But, for $\theta^2\,\gamma^2 \gg 1$ the Doppler factor decreases with increasing viewing angle like θ^{-2}, and the observed fluence of gamma rays, which in the CB model is amplified by a factor δ^2 due to relativistic beaming, decreases like $[1+\gamma^2\theta^2]^{-2}$. The geometrical probability to view a bipolar GRB from a small angle θ increases like $(1-cos\theta) \approx \theta^2/2$ and the product

$\theta^2\,[1+\gamma^2\theta^2]^{-2}$ has a maximum when $\gamma^2\theta^2=1$. Consequently, $\delta=\gamma$ for the most probable viewing angle $\theta=1/\gamma$ of GRBs (Shaviv & Dar 1995).

In 'soft' GRBs with $\gamma^2\,\theta^2 \gg 1$, such as X-ray flashes (XRFs) with $\gamma^2\,\theta^2 \gtrsim 10$, the dependence on the exact value of the Lorentz factor can be ignored compared to the strong dependence on the Doppler factor. This yields a slightly different correlation. Thus, we shall derive the CB model correlations for the above two different situations, for $\delta \simeq \gamma$ and for $\gamma^2\theta^2 \gg 1$, i.e. $\delta \ll \gamma$ where the dependence on γ can be neglected compared to that on δ. For a mixed population of ordinary GRBs, soft GRBs and XRFs one may expect an approximate correlation obtained by using the average index of the two power-law correlations. (Alternatively, one can derive triple correlations, i.e., correlations that involve three independent observables that each of which depends both on γ and θ). Below we derive the the CB model pair correlations that correspond to those discovered empirically by Liang et al. (2010) and we compare the two in the text and in Table 1.

3. Pair Correlations

3.1. Correlations between temporal parameters

In the CB model, the prompt optical and afterglow emissions of GRBs are emissions from the jet while it crosses the wind/ejecta blown from the progenitor into the interstellar medium (ISM). Until the CB sweeps in a relativistic mass/energy comparable to its rest mass, γ and δ stay put at their initial values. Because of time-aberration, all time measures of the prompt emission pulses/flares, such as its rise-time t_r from half maximum to peak value, the peak-time t_p *after the beginning of the flare/pulse*, the decay-time t_d from peak value to half maximum, and its full width at half maximum (FWHM) t_w, are proportional

to $(1+z)/\gamma\,\delta$. Hence,

$$log t_i = a_{ij} + log t_j \,, \text{where } i,j = r,d,p,w. \tag{1}$$

This explains the origin of the 'universal' power-law index ~ 1 of the 'power-law correlations' (proportionality) between the temporal parameters of the prompt γ-ray pulses. Moreover, the CB model also predicted the pulse shape and the ratios between the temporal parameters of the prompt GRB pulses. They yield the simple relations (Dar & De Rújula 2004; Dado et al. 2009):

$t_d \approx 2.39\, t_r$, i.e., $log\, t_d \approx 0.38 + log\, t_r$,

$t_d \approx 1.41\, t_p$, i.e., $log\, t_d \approx 0.15 + log\, t_p$,

$t_r \approx 0.59\, t_p$, i.e., $log\, t_r \approx -0.23 + log\, t_p$,

$t_w \approx 2.00\, t_p$, i.e., $log\, t_w \approx 0.30 + log\, t_p$,

$t_w \approx 3.39\, t_r$, i.e., $log\, t_w \approx 0.53 + log t_r$,

$t_w \approx 1.42\, t_d$, i.e., $log\, t_w \approx 0.15 + log\, t_d$,

where time is measured in seconds. These relations are well satisfied within observational errors by well resolved GRB peaks/flares as was found, e.g., in (Kocevski et al. 2003) through empirical parametrization of these peaks/flares. In the CB model these correlations are valid approximately also for the prompt optical flares as indeed was found by Liang et al (2010):

$log\, t_d = (0.48 \pm 0.13) + (1.06 \pm 0.06)\, log\, t_r$,

$log\, t_d = (-0.09 \pm 0.29) + (1.17 \pm 0.11)\, log\, t_p$,

$log\, t_r = (-0.54 \pm 0.22) + (1.11 \pm 0.08)\, log\, t_p$,

$log\, t_w = (0.05 \pm 0.27) + (1.16 \pm 0.10)\, log\, t_p$,

$log\, t_w = (0.61 \pm 0.11) + (1.05 \pm 0.05)\, log\, t_r$,

$log\, t_w = (0.15 \pm 0.02) + (0.98 \pm 0.01)\, log\, t_d$.

Note, however, that Liang et al. (2010) have selected for their analysis GRBs with

a single optical peak that could be described by the empirical formula of Kocevski et al. (2003) for GRB pulses. However, in most GRBs, the prompt optical emission is probably a sum of unresolved overlapping flares, as suggested by several bright GRBs such as 050820A (Vestrand et al. 2006), 080319B (Wozniak et al. 2009), 061007 (Rykoff et al. 2009) and 071031 (Kruhler et al. 2009), where the large photon statistics allowed a good temporal resolution with rapid-response ground-based telescopes. In nearby soft GRBs (GRBs with a relatively small E'_p) and XRFs, the individual flares are wider and observed later because of a much smaller Doppler factor, while the duration of the central engine activity remains the same, independent of viewing angle. Consequently, in far off-axis GRBs, such as XRFs, the individual flares strongly overlap and produce an effective single peak. Such peaks are hardly resolved into overlapping flares even with large rapid-response telescopes such as GROND (Dado & Dar 2009a). Thus, the sample of GRBs in Liang et al. (2010) consists mainly of soft GRBs and XRFs with a single optical peak at a relatively large peak-time, which allowed their measurement with rapid response telescopes that are larger but slower than the robotic telescopes.

Note also that because the peak energy $E_{p,\gamma}$ of the prompt γ-ray pulses and of the early-time X-ray flares is proportional to $\gamma\,\delta/(1+z)$ (Dar & De Rújula 2004; Dado et al. 2009), all the above temporal parameters of the prompt γ-ray, X-ray and optical peaks/flares are also inversely proportional to E_p of the γ-ray emission: $t_r \propto 1/E_{p,\gamma}$, $t_p \propto 1/E_{p,\gamma}$, $t_d \propto 1/E_{p,\gamma}$, $t_d \propto 1/E_{p,\gamma}$.

3.2. Pair correlations during the optical emission

In the CB model, the optical emission is dominated by synchrotron radiation (SR) and its lightcurve is given by (see, e.g., Dado et al. 2009,and references therein),

$$F_\nu[t] \propto n^{(1+\beta)/2}\, R^2 \gamma^{3\,\beta-1} \delta^{3+\beta}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $n[t]$ is the density along the CB trajectory, R is the CB radius and β is the spectral index of the SR. Typically, the spectral index in the optical band is $\beta_O \approx 0.5$ at early time and gradually approaches $\beta_X \approx 1$ at late time. The peak luminosity of the prompt optical emission flare is obtained when the CB reaches the peak density of the progenitor's wind/ejecta (Dado & Dar 2009a) while γ and δ stay put at their initial values. Thus, for the most probable viewing angle of GRBs $\theta \approx 1/\gamma$, i.e., $\delta \approx \gamma$, the CB model predicts for the peak optical luminosity $L_{p,O} \propto \gamma^4$, and $t'_w \propto 1/\gamma\,\delta \approx 1/\gamma^2$ for the FWHM in the GRB rest frame. These dependencies yield the correlation $L_{p,O} \propto [t'_w]^{-2}$, in agreement with that found in (Liang et al. 2010) and reported in their equation (11) and in Table 1 below. Similar correlations are expected between $L_{p,O}$ and t_r, t_d and t_p, respectively .

Note, however, that in the CB model, XRFs that are far off-axis GRBs have initially $\gamma^2\,\theta^2 \gg 1$. The deceleration of the CBs in XRFs yields $\delta(t)$, which first rises slowly as $\gamma(t)$ decreases with increasing time until it reaches a maximum when $\gamma(t)\theta = 1$, i.e., when $\delta(t) = \gamma(t)$. Hence, for a constant density ISM, a typical early-time optical spectral index $\beta_O \simeq 0.5$, and $\delta(t) \simeq \gamma(t)$, Eq. (2) yields $L_{p,O} \propto [\gamma(t)]^4$. Hence, for $t'_w \propto 1/\gamma(t)\,\delta(t) \simeq [\gamma(t)]^{-2}$, the CB model predicts the correlation $L_{p,O} \propto [t'_w]^{-2}$.

3.3. Correlations involving total energy, peak energy and peak luminosity

In the CB model the peak energy $E_{p,\gamma}$ and the isotropic equivalent gamma ray energy $E_{iso,\gamma}$ of the prompt emission peaks and early-time flares satisfy (Dar & De Rújula 2000)

$$(1+z)\,E_{p,\gamma} \propto \gamma\,\delta, \qquad E_{iso,\gamma} \propto \delta^3\,. \tag{3}$$

For $\delta \approx \gamma$, Eq. (3) yields $(1+z)\,E_{p.\gamma} \propto \gamma^2$, $E_{iso,\gamma} \propto \gamma^3$ and the correlations $(1+z)\,E_{p,\gamma} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{2/3}$. In soft GRBs and XRFs, i.e., GRBs with a large viewing angle, the CB model predicts $(1+z)\,E_{p,\gamma} \propto \delta \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{1/3}$ (Dar & De Rújula 2000). For a mixed population of soft and hard

GRBs, it yields the mean correlation

$$(1+z)\,E_{p,\gamma} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{1/2\pm1/6}, \tag{4}$$

consistent with the Amati correlation (Amati et al. 2002; Amati 2006), which was discovered empirically.

In the CB model, where the early optical emission is dominated by synchrotron radiation with a canonical spectral index $\beta_O \approx 0.5$, Eq. (2) predicts that ordinary GRBs with $\delta \approx \gamma$ have a peak optical luminosity $L_{p,O} \propto \gamma^4$ and consequently $L_{p,O} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{4/3}$. In soft GRBs and XRFs where the dependence on γ at early time can be neglected compared to the dependence on δ, the resulting correlation is $L_{p,O} \propto \delta^{7/2} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{7/6}$. Thus, the mean correlation in a mixed population of soft and hard GRBs that is predicted by the CB model is,

$$L_{p,O} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{5/4\pm1/12}\,, \tag{5}$$

which is in agreement with the power-law correlation with an index 1.17 ± 0.13 found by Liang et al. (2010) and reported in their equation (12) and in Table 1 below.

The equivalent isotropic optical energy of the prompt optical flare that dominates $E_{iso,O}$ is roughly given by $L_{p,O}\,t'_w \propto \gamma^{-1/2}\,\delta^{5/2}$. Thus, in ordinary GRBs where $\delta \approx \gamma$, the CB model predicts $E_{iso,O} \propto \gamma^2$, i.e., $E_{iso,O} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{2/3}$. In soft GRBs, $E_{iso,O} \propto \delta^{5/2} \propto [E_{iso,\gamma}]^{5/6}$, and the mean effective correlation that follows is,

$$E_{iso,O} \propto E_{iso,\gamma}^{3/4\pm1/12}\,, \tag{6}$$

which is in good agreement with the correlation found by Liang et al. (2010) and reported in their equation (14), and in Table 1 below .

3.4. Triple correlations

Many correlations between a triplet of GRB observables can be derived using their strong dependence on γ and δ. For instance, the peak equivalent isotropic luminosity of prompt emission γ-ray pulses and early-time X-ray flares satisfies,

$$L_{p,\gamma} \approx E_{iso,\gamma}/t'_w \propto E_{iso,\gamma}\, E'_{p,\gamma}\,. \tag{7}$$

In the CB model, the break time of a canonical X-ray afterglow satisfies (Dado et al. 2009), $t_{b,X} \propto (1+z)/(\delta^2\,\gamma)$. Then, using the relations in Eq. (3), the following correlation is obtained,

$$t_{b,X} \propto 1/(E_p\,[E_{iso,\gamma}]^{1/3})\,. \tag{8}$$

The three observables in the triple correlations depend usually also on other jet parameters and on the burst environment. These dependences can produce a significant spread around the predicted correlations.

4. Origin of the tight Γ_0-$E_{iso,\gamma}$ correlation

Liang et al. (2010) reported a tight correlation, $\Gamma_0 \simeq 182\,[E_{iso,\gamma}/10^{52}\,\mathrm{erg}]^{0.25}$, between Γ_0 of the ejecta and $E_{iso,\gamma}$. However, Γ_0 was not the measured initial Lorent factor but rather a value inferred from the relation

$$\Gamma_0 \simeq 192\,[E_{iso,52,\gamma}/(t'_p)^3]^{1/8}\,, \tag{9}$$

where $E_{iso,52,\gamma} = E_{iso,\gamma}/(10^{52}\,\mathrm{erg})$ and t'_p is in seconds. This relation follows from a fireball model expression for Γ_0 (Sari & Piran 1999) after neglecting its weak dependence on the unknown circumburst density and on the fraction of the relativistic kinetic energy of the ejecta that is converted to radiation.

Substitution of the CB model relations $E_{iso,\gamma} \propto \delta^3$ and $t'_p \propto 1/(\gamma\,\delta)$ into Eq. (9) yields $\Gamma_0 = \gamma^{3/8}\,\delta^{6/8}$. Consequently, for a mixed population of ordinary GRBs and XRFs, the CB model predicts the following correlations compared to the observed (obs) ones:

$\Gamma_0 \propto [E_{iso,\gamma}]^{0.31\pm0.075}$ obs: $\Gamma_0 \propto [E_{iso,\gamma}]^{0.25\pm0.02}$

$\Gamma_0 \propto [L_{p,O}]^{0.25\pm0.03}$ obs: $\Gamma_0 \propto [L_{p,O}]^{0.20\pm0.03}$

$\Gamma_0 \propto [t'_p]^{-0.66\pm0.09}$ obs: $\Gamma_0 \propto [t'_p]^{-0.59\pm0.05}$.

Note that for a GRB population dominated by XRFs and soft GRBs, where the dependence on γ can be neglected compared to that on δ, the CB model predicts: $\Gamma_0 \propto [E_{iso,\gamma}]^{0.25}$, $\Gamma_0 \propto [L_{p,O}]^{0.21}$, and $\Gamma_0 \propto [t'_p]^{-0.75}$.

5. Summary and conclusions

In a long series of publications we have demonstrated that the cannonball model of GRBs predicted correctly the main observed properties of GRBs, including the well established correlations between GRB observables, and can reproduce successfully their broad band lightcurves of GRBs and their afterglows from onset until very late times, despite their enormous complexity and diversity (see, e.g., Dar & De Rújula 2004; Dado et al. 2009; Dado & Dar 2009a; Dado & Dar 2009b and references therein). In this paper we have shown that in the CB model all the newly discovered pair correlations between gamma ray burst observables, reported in Liang et al. (2010) as the previously well established correlations, are a simple consequence of the strong dependence of the GRB observables on the Lorentz factor and viewing angle of the highly relativistic and narrowly collimated jets whose interaction with the medium along their path produces the observed radiations.

One of the new discovered correlations which was reported in Liang et al. (2010) was the surprisingly tight relation, $\Gamma_0 \simeq 182\,[E_{iso,\gamma}/10^{52}\,\mathrm{erg}]^{0.25}$. The authors pointed out in their

paper that "there is no straight-forward theory that predicts this relationship between Γ_0 and $E_{iso,\gamma}$", and that this tight correlation is inconsistent with the well established Amati relation (Amati 2006) if the prompt gamma ray emission in GRBs is produced by the internal shock mechanism of the fireball model.

The tight correlation between Γ_0 and $E_{iso,\gamma}$, however, was based on values of Γ_0 inferred in Liang et al. (2010) from a fireball model relation $\Gamma_0 \propto [E_{is0,\gamma}/(t'_p)^3]^{1/8}$ and measured values of $E_{iso,\gamma}$ and t'_p, and not from reliable measurements of the initial Lorentz factor γ. Using the CB model dependences of $E_{iso,\gamma}$ and t'_p on γ and δ one reproduces the power-law correlation between Γ_0 and $E_{iso,\gamma}$ with the observed index 0.25 for a population rich in soft GRBs and XRFs. Indeed, the GRB sample that was used in Liang et al. (2010) to infer the tight correlation contains a large fraction of XRFs (e.g., 060904B, 070318, 070419A, 071010A, 080330, 080710, 070208) and soft GRBs. We conclude that the tight correlation between Γ_0 and $E_{iso,\gamma}$ is that expected in the CB model, as well as the Amati relation (Eq. 4), which actually was predicted by the CB model (see Dar & De Rújula 2000 Eq. (40)) long before it was discovered empirically (Amati et al. 2002; Amati 2006). In the case of the standard fireball model, where the prompt emission pulses are produced by synchrotron emission from internal shocks, the observed tight correlation between Γ_0 and $E_{iso,\gamma}$ yields essentially $E_{p,\gamma}$ that is constant for different $E_{iso,\gamma}$ values, in contradiction with the Amati relation (Liang et al. 2010). This, perhaps, is not surprising in view of the fact that the standard fireball model was never shown to predict correctly the shape of the prompt emission gamma ray pulses and their spectral evolution, nor to explain convincingly their typical photon energy and total energy.

Finally, we would like to caution that the new correlations discovered by Liang et al. were inferred from a sample of selected GRBs: Only GRBs with a single optical peak that could be modeled with the empirical formula of Kocevski et al. (2003) seem to be included

in the sample. Bright GRBs with clear early time multipeak optical emission were not included in the GRB sample. A single late-time peak in fact could be a late-time flare or the blended sum of unresolved peaks. Moreover, soft GRBs and XRFs usually have a slow rebrightening of their optical and X-ray afterglows that probably has a completely different origin - an initial rise in $\delta(t)$ as $\gamma(t)$ decreases due to deceleration. Thus, most XRFs and soft GRBs show after a prompt emission flare(s) a slowly rising afterglow with a late-time power-law decay similar to that of ordinary GRBs (Dado & Dar 2009c).

REFERENCES

Amati, L., et al. 2002, A&A 390, 81

Amati, L. 2006, MNRAS, 372, 233

Dado, S. & Dar, A. 2009a, arXiv:0908.0650

Dado, S. & Dar, A. 2009b), arXiv:0910.0687

Dado, S. & Dar, A. 2009c), arXiv:0911.1113

Dado, S., Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2002, A&A, 388, 1079

Dado, S., Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2004, A&A, 422, 381

Dado, S., Dar, A. and De Rújula, A. 2007, ApJ, 663, 400

Dado, S., Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2008, ApJ, 680, 517

Dado, S., Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2009, ApJ, 696, 994

Dar, A., et al. 1992, ApJ, 388, 164

Dar, A. 1998, ApJ, 500, L93

Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2000, arXiv:astro-ph/0012227

Dar, A. & De Rújula, A. 2004, Phys. Rep. 405, 203

Dar, A. & Plaga, R. 1999, A&A, 349, 259

Goodman, J., Dar, A. & Nussinov, S. 1987, ApJ, 314, L7

Kocevski, D., Ryde, F. & Liang, E. 2003, ApJ, 596, 389

Kruhler, T., et al. 2009, ApJ, 697, 758

Liang, E. W., et al. 2010, ApJ, 725, 2209

Meszaros, P. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 137

Piran, T. 2004, Reviews of Modern Physics, 76, 1143

Rykoff, E. S., et al. 2009, ApJ, 702, 489

Sari, R., & Piran, T. 1999, ApJ, 520, 641

Shaviv, N. J. & Dar, A. 1995, ApJ, 447, 863

Vestrand, W. T., et al. 2006, Nature, 442, 172

Wozniak, P. R., et al. 2009, ApJ, 691, 495

Zhang, B. 2007, Chinese Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 7, 1

Zhang, B., & Meszaros, P. 2004, International Journal of Modern Physics A, 19, 2385

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1. Comparison between the power-law index k of the power-law correlations $log[O_i] = a_{ij} + k\, log[O_j]$ which was reported in (Liang et al. 2010) for various pairs (O_i, O_j) of GRB observables and its value predicted by the CB model. t_r, t_p, t_d and t_w stand, respectively, for the rise-time, peak-time, decay-time, and total width of the optical peak.

Correlated Pair	k [obs]	k [CB model]
(t_d, t_r)	1.06 ± 0.06	1.00
(t_d, t_p)	1.17 ± 0.11	1.00
(t_r, t_p)	1.11 ± 0.08	1.00
(t_w, t_p)	1.16 ± 0.10	1.00
(t_w, t_r)	1.05 ± 0.05	1.00
(t_w, t_d)	0.98 ± 0.01	1.00
$(L_{p,O}, t'_p)$	-2.49 ± 0.39	-2.00
$(L_{p,O}, t'_w)$	-2.00 ± 0.32	-2.00
$(L_{p,O}, E_{iso,\gamma})$	1.17 ± 0.13	1.25 ± 0.08
$(t'_p, E_{iso,\gamma})$	-0.40 ± 0.07	-0.50 ± 0.17
$(E_{iso,O}, E_{iso,\gamma})$	0.74 ± 0.10	0.75 ± 0.08
$(E'_{p,\gamma}, E_{iso,\gamma})$	0.51 ± 0.06	0.50 ± 0.17
$(\Gamma_0, E_{iso,\gamma})$	0.25 ± 0.03	0.31 ± 0.07
$(\Gamma_0, L_{p,O})$	0.20 ± 0.03	0.25 ± 0.04
(Γ_0, t'_p)	-0.59 ± 0.05	-0.66 ± 0.09